1. Working group name:

*Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group*

1. Individual sponsor(s):

*John Ritter, Nevada Dispensary Association & Owner*

*Amanda Connor, Attorney Connor & Connor - Marijuana law*

1. Describe the recommendation:

*The Taxation/Revenue/Regulatory Structure Working Group recommends that the retail marijuana store licenses allocated to the counties, as described in IP1, be distributed to the local jurisdiction(s) within those counties prorata based on the population in the jurisdiction(s).*

1. Which guiding principle(s) does this recommendation support?

*Guiding Principle 1 - Promote the health, safety, and well-being of Nevada’s communities*

*Guiding Principle 2 - Be responsive to the needs and issues of consumers, non-consumers, local governments, and the industry.*

*Guiding Principle 4 - Propose efficient and effective regulation that is clear and reasonable and not unduly burdensome.*

1. What provision(s) of Question 2 does this recommendation apply to?

*Question 2 imposed a limitation on the number of retail stores located in the counties. The recommendation addresses how those retail store licenses should be distributed.*

1. What issue(s) does the recommendation resolve?

*This recommendation ensures even distribution of the retail marijuana licenses to ensure that the needs to the consumers, non-consumers, local government and industry are met by preventing over or under saturation of retail marijuana stores in particular areas.*

1. Was there dissent in the group regarding this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the dissenting opinion regarding the recommendation.

*There was no dissent regarding this recommendation.*

1. What action(s) will be necessary to adopt the recommendation? Will statute, policy, regulations, etc. need to be addressed?

 *There would need to be adoption of a regulation or statute to address this recommendation.*

1. Additional information (cost of implementation, priority according to the recommendations, etc).

*None*